

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building plus space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature

Address

Andrea Terney
Holly M. Morin

46 Sanford Rdg
50 Sanford Ridge

Joseph L. Morin

50 11 4

Walter Terry

46 Sanford Ridge

Latricia A. Linde

48 Sanford Ridge

Stephen A. Linde

48 Sanford Ridge

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature

Address

RS

38 Sanford Ridge

Alvin Ludwig

24 Sanford Ridge

Carl Rowland

21 Sanford Ridge

Jane R. Suttle

36 Sanford Ridge

Les Boynton

1 Sanford

John C. Hall

34 Sanford Ridge

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature
Amanda Lindby

Address
32 Sanford Ridge

Sandy Orso

1 Schoolhouse Landing

Donna

3 Schoolhouse Landing

Katherine McKinney

8 Schoolhouse Landing

Chen Carl

17 Schoolhouse Landing

Krishna

24 Schoolhouse Landing

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The concept plan sold to the Planning & Zoning Commission was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

<u>Bert Yost Spellman</u>	<u>38 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u>Nancy Hayes</u>	<u>30 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u>Carol Smith</u>	<u>36 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u>Elaine Hodgdon</u>	<u>28 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u>Jean Tereshachy</u>	<u>19 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u>Barbara Bond</u>	<u>17 Sanford Ridge</u>

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature

Address



30 Sanford Ridge, East Granby CT

Deborah Fedorini

5 Sanford Ridge East Granby CT

Cybil Bell

5 Sanford Ridge E. Granby, CT

Burke

2 Sanford Ridge E. Granby, CT

Maria Barry Hoffman

11 Sanford Ridge E. Granby CT

B. Bell

9 Sanford Ridge E. Granby, CT

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature	Address
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>3 SANFORD RIDGE</u>
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>3 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>1 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>42 Sanford Ridge</u>
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>" " "</u>
<u><i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>40 Sanford Ridge</u>

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature

Address

Joann Blaw

47 North Main St

James Fidler

5 Seneca Dr. E. Granby

Linda Wolfe

20 Metacomot Dr

Nancy J. Hill

14 Rolling Green

Pauline Parkins

1, Tuckaloe Rd E Granby

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!




The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature	Address
	22 Sanford Ridge, East Granby, CT 06026
	16 Sanford Ridge
Barbara & Rich Krueger	26 SANFORD Ridge
	4 ALDERMAN LN.
Jayne + Dave Archibald	14 Hamilton Rd.
_____	_____

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for **three** commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature _____

Address _____
23 Sanford Rdg E Granby
19 Schoolhouse Lndg, E Granby
44 Sanford Ridge E. Granby
44 Sanford Ridge E. Granby

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature

Address

Carol M. Schwartz

11 Wynding Hills Rd. EG.

DAVEE PARKINSON

EAST GRANBY CONDO 16, SEYMOOR RD

Nancy E. Douglas

99 Old County Rd, E. Granby, CT

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!

The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature Jay Szepanski

Address 14 SANFORD RIDGE E. GRANBY

Karen Palmieri

20 SANFORD RIDGE E. GRANBY

Robert Beaulieu

18 SANFORD RIDGE E. GRANBY

Meaghan Beaulieu

19 SANFORD RIDGE EAST GRANBY CT

Karen Swane

12 SANFORD EAST GRANBY CT

No Zoning Change for Commerce Transition Zone

On August 12, the Town of East Granby received an application for a zoning change so that The Silverman Group, a New Jersey-based developer, could erect a huge 800,000 square foot building near our homes and schools. We strongly oppose approval of that application.

When the Silverman Group purchased the 130-acre site, including wetlands, in the commerce transition zone behind Schoolhouse Landing, Higley Village and Sanford Ridge, zoning regulations limited building size to 300,000 square feet. The zoning intent was to allow for retail business, apartments, warehouse/distribution centers and light manufacturing—less intensive than the commercial zones elsewhere in town.

Soon after, the Silverman Group lobbied for and, in April, were granted a zoning change that increased the size of buildings permitted in the transition zone to 400,000 square feet. This zoning regulation change was granted despite our objections and protests. The conceptual plan presented to the public, Planning & Zoning and Conservation Commissions was for three commercial buildings, with the largest at 400,000 sf, plus five apartment buildings totaling 148 units.

Just four months later, the Group was back with an application to **DOUBLE** the size of the largest building **plus** space for 480 loading docks, parking for 400 trailers and 640 cars—all in an area zoned for “less intensive” use!




The Economic Development Commission, in its advisory role to the P&Z, is opposed to the proposed changes.

The undersigned oppose any increase in the size of buildings in East Granby’s transition zone.

The undersigned are opposed to any financial incentives for the Silverman Group’s project.

The undersigned request that the Town of East Granby require that the project’s impact on the wetlands and wildlife, transportation in and around our town center and schools, noise and pollution be minimized and regulated, with specific and significant penalties for failure to comply.

When the Chairman of the Board of Education spoke at the hearing for the Town Budget, he cautioned that East Granby schools are now at capacity, and more apartments will mean more schools. The undersigned request that the impact on the school system of the proposed apartment buildings be thoroughly analyzed and made public before site plans are approved.

Signature	Address
	40 Sanford Ridge
Peter Sulliva	15 Sanford Ridge
Lucas Padilla	7 Sanford Ridge
	10 Sanford Ridge
	10 Sanford Ridge